

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

06/07/2011

ELECTRONIC

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/453,800	12/03/1999	ANDREW WATSON	CITI0125	3066
75127 7590 SNR DENTON US LLP (CITI CUSTOMER NUMBER) ATTN: Eric Sophir P.O. BOX 061080 CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			EXAMINER	
			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

#### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

eric.sophir@snrdenton.com sg.citi-docket@snrdenton.com patents@snrdenton.com

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ANDREW WATSON

Application 09/453,800 Technology Center 3600

Before DALE M. SHAW, Division 2 Support Administrator.

#### ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

On July 8, 2009, an Examiner's Answer containing a New Grounds of Rejection was mailed to Appellant.

Under the Board's regulations at 37 C.F.R. §41.50(a)(2), the Appellant had a statutory response time to the Examiner's Answer of two months to either 1) reopen prosecution, or 2) maintain the appeal by filing a Reply Brief to the Examiner's Answer. The Appellant has failed to exercise either of the options under 37 C.F.R. §41.50(a)(2), which reads as follows:

(2) If a supplemental examiner's answer is written in response to a remand by the Board for further consideration of a rejection

Appeal 2010-000502 Application 09/453,800

pursuant to paragraph (a)(1) of this section, the appellant must within two months from the date of the supplemental examiner's answer exercise on of the following two options to avoid sua sponte dismissal of the appeal as to the claims subject to the rejection for which the Board has remanded the proceeding:

- (i) Reopen prosecution. Request that prosecution be reopened before the examiner by filing a reply under \$1.111 of this title with or without amendment or submission of affidavits (§\$1.130, 1.131 or 1.132 of this title) or other evidence. Any amendment or submission of affidavits or other evidence must be relevant to the issues set forth in the remand or raised in the supplemental examiner's answer. A request that complies with this paragraph will be entered and the application or the patent under ex parte reexamination will be reconsidered by the examiner under the provisions of \$1.112 of this title. Any request that prosecution be reopened under this paragraph will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal.
- (ii) Maintain appeal. Request that the appeal be maintained by filing a reply brief as provided in §41.41. If such a reply brief is accompanied by any amendment, affidavit or other evidence, it shall be treated as a request that prosecution be reopened before the examiner under paragraph (a)(2)(1) of this section.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal filed [date] is dismissed.

DMS/erc